# Research and Industry questions to be answered on PV testing

Quality, Characterization, Power Measurement, Securing Performance, Failures and Degradation Effects



#### **Deviation from Rated Power**



Critical performance evaluation (measurement) necessary High level of measurement precision required for use in court



#### Power measurements (sun simulators, outdoor)

LED based systems, Xenon based (mobile)





Systems with Xenon-flasher (laboratory, mobile)



Industry and Investor Support through CSIR: Flash tests before and after LID and after operation (Facilities: Outdoor exposure test stand, Flasher)

Stady state sun simulator



Outdoor Measurements

- Laboratory (single module)
- Field measurements (module, strings)



## Measurement Uncertainty and Traceability of Power Measurements

World PV Scale			
	Primary calibration of a reference cell (WPVS)	±0 <b>.5%</b>	
PTB <sup>1)</sup> Calibration laboratory Testing laboratory (TUV Rheinland) Manufacturer Measurement uncertainty	Secondary calibration of a reference cell	± 1.0 - 2.0%	
	Calibration of a reference module	± 1.8 - 2.5%	
	Usage of reference modules for flasher adjustment in production	(3.0 - 5.0%)	
Part of measurement uncertainty 1) "The Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB) is the national metrology institute of Germany"			



### Measurement Uncertainty and Traceability of **Power Measurements**

LED based systems, Xenon based (mobile) **PTB**<sup>1)</sup> Calibration Calibration laboratory **Testing laboratory** (TUV Rheinland) **Mobile system** Outdoor field measurements Sekundary calibration **PTB**<sup>1)</sup> of a reference cell Calibration laboratory Usage of reference cell (often low uncertainity) Outdoor measurement system



for outdoor measurements

5 Workshop CSIR, May 2017 26.05.2017

**TÜV**Rheinland® Precisely Right.

 $\pm 2,0 - 3.0\%$ 

(6.0 - 7.0%)

**F**stimated



Through 'light induced degradation' (LID) initial power changes by a few percent in the course of hours.

# Verification of Power Output and Stability is Important for the Return on Investment

New series of standards

Industry and Investor Support by CSIR: Flash tests before and after LID Stabilizsation (Facilities: Outdoor exposure test stand, Flasher)

**IEC 61215-1**: Power output verification:  $P_{max}(Lab) \ge P_{max}(Nameplate)$ 

(considering measuring uncertainties, production tolerances and LID effects)

**IEC 61215-2**: Criterion definition for stabilization (3 measurements):

$(P_{\max} \cdot$	$-P_{\min})/$	' P <sub>average</sub>	< <i>x</i>

Standard	Scope	Irradiation dose Initial stabilization	Irradiation dose final stabilization	Stabilization criterion x
IEC 61215-1-1	c-Si	2 x 5kWh/m²	not required	1%
IEC 61215-1-2	CdTe	2 x 20kWh/m²	2 x 20kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	2%
IEC 61215-1-3	a-Si	2 x 43kWh/m²	2 x 43kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	2%
IEC 61215-1-4	CIGS	2 x 20kWh/m²	2 x 10kWh/m²	2%



#### Degradation, Service Lifetime of PV Modules





TÜV Rheinland estimation shows that crystalline modules of high quality will exhibit annual degradation rates of < 0.3%. Published degradation rates for different module technologies. 1 exposed before 2000, 2 exposed after 2000

[1] Photovoltaic Degradation Rates — An Analytical Review Dirk C. Jordan et al., Journal Article NREL/JA-5200-51664 (2012)



#### Accurate Power Output at STC after Stabilization





#### Soiling **Example Arizona**



Cleaning measures increase O&M cost significantly.

**TÜV**Rheinland® Precisely Right.

#### Soiling Field Study – Chennai, India; inclination angle = 15°



- 3-months dry season ⇒ 25 % soiling loss observed
- Recovery in rainy season: SLF >99 % in first year, SLF >98 % in second year
- Significant differences in soiling patterns for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year



#### Choice of Technology Global Energy Yield Benchmark





#### Long time behavior of different Technologies, Metastabilities



Industry and Investor Support by CSIR: Energy Yield Testing and comparison (Facilities: Energy yield test bench, Flasher)



# Calculate and Compare the Energy Yield Performance of PV Modules



# Testing of small grid connected PV-System under local conditions

Test of grid connected systems

- Direct connected
- With battery
- Long time behavior
- Efficiency depend on irradiation and status of battery
- Utilization factor

Industry and Investor Support by CSIR: System tests of grid connected systems with and without batteries (Facilities: System test bench with and without battery)



Research and Industry questions to be answered on PV testing Outlock: Different Climates, Soiling, Sand



#### **Energy Yield Prediction based on Precise Data**



IEC 61853-1

measuring points

IEC 61853-2

Incidence angle	IAM
o	0-1
0	•
±10	-
±20	-
±30	-
±40	-
±50	-
±60	•
±65	-
±70	•
±75	•
±80	•
±85	-

TUV PAN File

Efficiencies of base and TUV PAN File



The complete set of measurements leads to more precise energy yield prediction and reduction of risk of over- or underestimation of revenues by several percent.



# Type Testing and is Limitations

#### From IEC 61215 / 61646 / 61730:

"...design qualification and type approval of terrestrial photovoltaic modules suitable for long-term operation in general open air climates, as defined in IEC 60721-2-1...."

#### Arid area stresses:

- Higher daily and annual irradiance
- Increased UV
- Higher temperatures
- Sandstorms
- Dust
- more operational hours per day





### Type Testing and is Limitations

#### Standard insufficiencies:

- Characterization with focus on different climate (IEC 61853 energy matrix)
- Unrealistic Nominal Operating Cell Temperature (NOCT) at 800 W/m<sup>2</sup> / 20° C
- Temperature range of tests -45° C to +85°
- Module temperature for stress test 75° C
- Max irradiance for tests 1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>
- Insufficient UV test
- No sand abrasion test
- Max. temp. determination parameters

	normalized temperatures [°C]			
	backsheet	junction box	terminal	diode
1000 W/m²/ 40 °C	79,3	72,1	70,2	79,6
1100 W/m²/ 50 °C 🤇	102,6	86,6	82,2	92,9





Module and environmental temperatures: Arizona





#### Type Testing and is Limitations Possible Test Scenario with Adapted Test Parameters





#### Sand and Dust Sand Abrasion – Test Methods

#### Adaptation to specific region

- Available standards:
  - MIL 810G Method 510.5
  - DEF STD 00-35
  - IEC 60068-2-68
  - AECTP 300 Method 313



- Different regions were examined and with compared with standard parameters
- Parameters differ from region to region
- The military standard MIL 810G covers most of the conditions quite well
- Until now field experience from long-term exposure in desert regions is limited
- Benchmark tests are useful and available for modules and components



#### Sand and Dust Sand Abrasion - Experiments

#### Surface structure of backsheet

- Photos of backsheet in sand blasted areas show surface changes compared to unconditioned areas.
- Effects are visible after 90 minutes
- Until now no final assessment of effects
- Microscopic imaging and cross sectional analysis will bring further information (ongoing work)
- Further modules are currently under test







#### Summary

 Hot and extreme climate zones impose special requirements to PV system components

- Sand is only one of many stress factors
  - Impact on transmission of glass
  - Impact on backsheet
- Until now there is no adapted standard available
  - A proposal by TÜV Rheinland is available
  - A standard is needed to allow adapted product development

Cleaning and maintenance cost are often underestimated



# Thank you for you attention!

rentior

C



24 26.05.2017 Workshop CSIR, May 2017

nar

Image:www.spineuniverse.com

47